1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MARIO HATRI WOOTEN, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-02-2408 FCD PAN P 12 VS. 13 GARY J. LEONARD, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 16 On February 17, 2006, the court gave plaintiff 30 days to file an opposition or a 17 statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment and warned plaintiff 18 that failure to comply would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for 19 plaintiff's failure to prosecute. 20 The 30 days have passed and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or notice of non-21 opposition or otherwise responded to the February 17, 2006, order. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for 23 plaintiff's failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 25 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 20 days 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections.

Case 2:02-cv-02408-FCD-PAN Document 42 Filed 04/07/06 Page 2 of 2

1	The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and
2	Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
3	appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
4	DATED: April 6, 2006.
5	A D T MAD ON
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	CITED STATES WAGISTRATE SOLICE
8	\004
9	\woot2408.f&r 46 msj
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	